IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TRACEY GREEN : CIVIL ACTION

'-

FRANKLIN J. TENNIS : NO. 06-cv-0862

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

On February 27, 2006, petitioner filed the above-captioned petition in this court seeking Habeas Corpus relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254. Petitioner has filed a previous petition in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254, labeled 99-cv-2023. 99-cv-2023 raised several claims, some of which were denied on the merits and one of which were dismissed on grounds of procedural default (which is considered a Constructive merits determination and denial). Villot v. Varner, 373 F.3d 327 (3d Cir. 2004); Holloway v. Horn, 355 F.3d 707 (3d Cir. 2004). In such circumstances, the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996, 28 U.S.C. §§2241- 2266, provides in relevant part that before such a second or successive petition is filed in the district court, the prisoner must first get permission to file in the district court from the circuit court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2244(b)(3)(A), and that without such circuit permission, the district court lacks subject matter jurisdiction to consider such a habeas petition. Stewart v. Martinez-Villaeral, 523 U.S. 637 (1998); Felker v. Turpin, 518 U.S. 651 (1996); Benchoff v. Colleran, 404 F.3d 812 (3d Cir. 2005); In re Minarik, 166 F.3d 591 (3d Cir. 1999). Accordingly, this Day of 2006, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

- Leave is granted Petitioner to proceed in forma pauperis in this matter for all purposes.
- 2. This civil action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** on the grounds

that this court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over it.

 The Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania shall mark this matter as CLOSED in this court for all purposes, including statistics.

S/ROBERT F. KELLY
ROBERT F. KELLY, U.S. District Judge